



## Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

*An Answer to DR. JOSEPH PRIESTLEY's Considerations on the Doctrine of Phlogiston, and the Decomposition of Water; founded upon demonstrative Experiments.* By JAMES WOODHOUSE, M. D. Professor of Chemistry in the University of Pennsylvania, &c.

## SECTION I.

*Of the Constitution of Metals.*

**D**R. Priestley in two late publications, entitled, Considerations on the Doctrine of Phlogiston and Decomposition of Water, has attacked that theory of chemistry, which is at present adopted by a large majority of chemists, in different parts of the world.

The doctor adheres to the doctrine of phlogiston, and believes that metals are compound bodies, formed of this substance and a peculiar base or calx.

On the contrary, the antiphlogistic chemists reject phlogiston.

First. Because it appears to be a mere creature of the imagination, whose existence has never been proved.

Secondly. Because all the phænomena of chemistry, can be satisfactorily explained, without the aid of this hypothesis.

They believe metals to be simple substances, because they have never been proved to be compound bodies.

They consider a metallic calx, to be an union of a metal and the base of vital air, called by them oxygen, as it is the principle of universal acidity. The proofs that metals, in being converted into calces, absorb oxygen are,

First. That all the calces of mercury give out oxygenous gas when exposed to a red heat, without any addition.

Secondly. If a metal is calcined in oxygenous gas, the whole of it will be absorbed.

Thirdly.

Thirdly. If the process of calcination is performed in a variety of gases, containing some oxygenous air, the oxygen only will be imbibed by the metal, and the others will be left unaltered.

Fourthly. If any substance is added to a metallic oxyd, and the calx is revived, a compound body will be produced, formed of the agent used and the oxygen contained in the calx.

Thus, if the filings of pure bar iron are mixed with red precipitate, and exposed to a red heat, the iron will be converted into a calx and the mercury will be revived. If pure charcoal is mixed with the precipitate, carbonic acid will be produced ; and if the mercurial calx is revived in hydrogenous gas, water will be formed.

The first objection of Dr. Priestley, to this theory of the calcination of metals, is as follows.

He says, if turbith mineral is exposed to a red heat, a calx remains which cannot be revived in any degree of heat, without the aid of some substance, supposed to contain phlogiston. Before we proceed any further in this investigation, it is absolutely necessary to determine the real composition of turbith mineral.

According to the French philosophers, this substance is a pure oxyd of mercury.

Fourcroy and Baumé declare, that it does not contain one particle of the sulphuric acid. Dr. Priestley is doubtful whether it is a salt or a calx ; and in the Edinburgh Dispensatory and London Pharmacopœia Chirurgica, it is called hydrargyrus vitriolatus flavus.

The following experiments were made, to ascertain the composition of this substance.

First. One ounce of pure turbith mineral was exposed to a red heat, in a long glass tube, which communicated with an hydropneumatic apparatus, when thirty-three ounce measures of oxygenous gas were obtained. Upon breaking the glass, a quantity of fluid mercury was found in the tube.

tube. Two drachms of the sulphate of mercury, of a white colour and strong acrid taste, had sublimed on the sides of the glass. A part of the sulphate of mercury, was coloured by an immense number of minute particles of revived mercury, which gave it the appearance of mercurius cinereus.

Secondly. One ounce of turbith mineral, was boiled fifteen times, six hours each time, in half a pint of distilled water, which was renewed every time; and it could not be freed from the sulphuric acid, for the water always precipitated a solution of muriated barytes.

Thirdly. One ounce of turbith mineral was boiled three hours, in a solution of caustic potash, when it lost its yellow colour, and was converted into a calx of the colour of brickdust. Upon being dried it was found to have lost one hundred and sixty grains in weight.

The liquor in which it was boiled, by spontaneous evaporation in the open air, gave chrystals of vitriolated tar tar.

These experiments were repeated with turbith mineral, made by precipitating a solution of the sulphate of mercury by potash, with the same result.

They clearly prove, contrary to what has been advanced by Lavoisier, Monnet, Bucquet, Fourcroy, Chaptal and other French chemists, that turbith mineral, is not a pure oxyd of mercury, but contains sulphuric acid, and may be considered as a sulphate of mercury.

The reason that those gentlemen were deceived in regard to the composition of this substance must have been, either that they did not break the vessels in which their experiments were made, to discover any residuum, or from the circumstance, of obtaining oxygenous gas from the turbith, equally as good as from any acknowledged calx of mercury.

The reason that turbith mineral yields oxygenous gas, when it is exposed to a red heat is, that the sulphuric acid quits

quits one part of it and joins to another, which sublimes in the form of a white salt. That part which the sulphuric acid leaves, is converted into a calx, is revived without addition, and yields oxygenous gas.

This sulphate of mercury is the supposed calx, to which Dr. Priestley refers. It is sometimes obtained of a red colour, owing to some impure matter contained in the turbith mineral, which by depriving a part of the sulphuric acid of its pure air, converts it into sulphur, which uniting with part of the revived mercury, forms cinnabar, which gives the whole of the sublimed salt a red colour.

That it is a sulphate of mercury, we have an additional proof, from an experiment of Dr. Priestley, for he procured ethiops mineral, by heating this supposed calx in inflammable air, by means of a burning lens, which he could not have obtained from a pure calx of mercury, treated in the same manner.

The size of the vessel in which turbith mineral is heated, will vary the result of the experiment. No residuum can be obtained, by exposing it in a crucible to a red heat, for the whole of it flies away, and leaves only a mark on the bottom of the vessel. The same circumstance will take place, if a short glass tube is used.

Having thus determined, that the substance which remains after exposing turbith mineral to a red heat, is a neutral salt coloured red by cinnabar, and not a metallic calx, we see that the first objection of Dr. Priestley, to the theory of the calcination of metals, adopted by the anti-phlogistic chemists, loses all its force, for certainly it does not follow, that because the sulphate of mercury requires to be deprived of its sulphuric acid, before running mercury can be procured from it, that therefore all mercurial calces require the addition of phlogiston, to be converted into mercury.

The

The second objection of Dr. Priestley, to the new theory of chemistry is, that when a metal is reduced to a calx, it throws out something which forms phlogisticated air. He says, that when the focus of a burning lens, is thrown upon iron confined in atmospheric air, the dephlogisticated air is not merely separated from the phlogisticated air, but that the phlogiston from the iron, unites with the dephlogisticated air and forms azotic gas.

In order to see if this assertion was just, the focus of the burning lens belonging to our society, which is eleven inches in diameter, was thrown upon ninety grains of the filings of bar iron, filed for the purpose, confined in thirty-two ounce measures of oxygenous gas, which had been well washed in lime water, and which was so pure, that nearly the whole of it was devoured by the test of nitrous air. Twenty-eight ounce measures of the pure air were absorbed by the iron, which was reduced to a calx.

The quantity of carbonic acid produced, which was formed by a small quantity of coal, which all iron of commerce contains, uniting to a part of the pure air, amounted to one ounce measure.

When the fixed air was absorbed by washing it in lime water, the remaining air was in no manner injured.

The focus of the lens was likewise thrown, upon sixty grains of the filings of copper, confined in sixteen ounce measures of oxygenous gas. Twelve ounce measures of the pure air were absorbed by the metal, which was converted into a calx. No carbonic acid or azotic gas was formed, and the remaining air was perfectly pure. These experiments prove, contrary to what has been said by Dr. Priestley, that when a metal, containing no foreign substance, is calcined in oxygenous gas, the pure air only is imbibed, no substance is emitted from the metal, and no azotic gas is formed.

## SECTION II.

*Of the Solution of Iron in the diluted Sulphuric and Muriatic Acids.*

The next thing which engages the attention of Dr. Priestley, is the solution of iron, in the diluted sulphuric and muriatic acids.

The question to be decided is, whether the hydrogenous gas which is produced, comes from the iron, or from the water which the acids contain.

The antiphlogistic chemists contend, that it comes from the water, for the following reasons.

First. If concentrated sulphuric acid is boiled upon iron filings, sulphureous gas is produced, but no inflammable air, and the sulphuric acid suffers a decomposition and a loss in weight.

Secondly. If the sulphuric acid is digested upon iron in the cold, it remains in a quiescent state, but the instant water is added, a violent action ensues, accompanied by a discharge of hydrogenous gas.

Thirdly. They believe that the hydrogenous gas comes from the water, because no inflammable air, can be produced from iron without water, and the hydrogenous gas obtained is in strict proportion to the water, which the acids contain.

Fourthly. They believe, water is decomposed in dissolving iron in the diluted sulphuric acid, that its oxygen calcines the metal, while its hydrogen escapes, and that the acid acts upon the calcined metal without being decomposed, for it will saturate as much alkali, after the process of solution, as it did before.

Fifthly. They prove that water is composed of oxygen and hydrogen.

Dr. Priestley's objection to this explanation is, that as one hundred parts of water, according to the advocates of

the new system of chemistry, are composed of eighty-seven parts of oxygen and thirteen of hydrogen, which is nearly seven times as much of the former as of the latter, there must be a great deposition of oxygen somewhere, when iron is dissolved in the diluted sulphuric acid, which he cannot discover.

He denies that it unites to the metal, and declares there is no addition of oxygen in the process, and consequently that there is no decomposition of water in the case.

That there is a quantity of oxygen, which unites to the metals, when dissolved in acids, I think can be easily proved.

In order to do this I will shew, that when pure metallic calces, which are acknowledged by Dr. Priestley to contain oxygen, are heated in hydrogenous gas, that the oxygen of the calces unites to the hydrogen and forms water, and that the disappearance of the inflammable air, is always in strict proportion to the pure air, which the calces contain.

I will then prove that the calces of copper and iron, obtained from the sulphates of these metals by ammoniac, have this property of making large quantities of inflammable air disappear. The oxyds which are acknowledged to contain oxygen are mercury, lead and manganese.

The focus of the lens was thrown upon two drachms of red precipitate, confined in thirty-two ounce measures of hydrogenous gas, obtained from the sulphuric acid diluted with water and the filings of bar iron, and which had been well washed in lime water. Twenty-two ounce measures of the inflammable air disappeared, the mercury was revived and no carbonic acid gas was produced. The air which remained behind was not altered.

According to Dr. Priestley, fixed air should have been formed in this process, for he says, when any substance known to contain oxygen, is heated in inflammable air, fixed air is found, but this is not the case.

I agree with the Doctor, that carbonic acid gas will be obtained by reviving minium, or mercurius precipitatus per se in inflammable air, for these calces generally contain it, but if the minium be converted into mafficot, no fixed air will be generated.

Here we have a strong proof of the position we are endeavouring to establish.

Two drachms of red lead, make twenty ounce measures of inflammable air disappear, when heated in it by the burning lens, but when converted into mafficot, only eight ounce measures.

Now, if Dr. Priestley's theory was true, that the metal imbibed the air, mafficot ought to absorb more inflammable air than minium, as it contains more lead than an equal weight of minium.

In making red lead into mafficot, nothing but pure air with a small quantity of fixed air escapes, and the loss of the pure air is the true reason, that one calx of the same metal, will make more inflammable air disappear than another.

But we have still stronger proofs, to prove that our ideas on this subject are just.

The focus of the lens was thrown upon one drachm of the oxyd of manganese, confined in thirty ounce measures of hydrogenous gas, when twenty-two ounce measures of the gas disappeared, and the metal was not revived. How then could the inflammable air have entered into its composition?

A quantity of the oxyd of manganese, was exposed to a red heat for three hours, and a part of its pure air was driven off, when upon throwing the focus of the lens upon one drachm of it confined in inflammable air, none of the air disappeared, whereas if this quantity of the oxyd, had not been exposed to a red heat, twenty-two ounce measures of the air would have vanished.

Some manganese was also precipitated from its solution, in the muriatic acid by ammoniac, and when fresh made it would never make any inflammable air disappear, when heated in it by the burning lens, but after being exposed a few days to the action of atmospheric air, one drachm of it made four ounce measures of inflammable air disappear. In all these cases we evidently see the operation of oxygen. Not knowing the exact quantity of pure air, which iron and copper absorbed, one drachm of the filings of bar iron were melted by the burning lens in oxygenous gas when twenty-six ounce measures were imbibed by the iron, and the same quantity of the filings of copper treated in the same manner gave an absorption of thirteen ounce measures.

One drachm of the precipitate of iron, from a solution of the sulphate of iron by ammoniac, was then heated in forty-six ounce measures of hydrogenous gas, when thirty-six ounce measures of the air disappeared.

The same quantity of the common rust of steel, and the carbonate of iron, obtained from green vitriol by a solution of mild pot ash, and what Dr. Priestley calls a nitrated calx of iron, formed by adding nitric acid to a calx of iron and exposing it to a red heat, when treated in the same manner, made exactly as much air vanish.

One drachm of the precipitate of copper, from a solution of blue vitriol by ammoniac, exposed to the action of the lens in hydrogenous gas, made eighteen ounce measures of the air disappear.

Here then are two metals, one of which the iron, absorbs twice as much oxygen, when melted in it, as the copper, and its calx following the same proportion when heated in hydrogenous gas, makes exactly twice as much of the air disappear.

After one drachm of the calx of iron, had made thirty-six ounce measures of inflammable air disappear, it was exposed to the action of the lens in oxygenous gas, when four

four ounce measures of the air were absorbed, and after this being again heated in hydrogenous gas, six ounce measures of the air vanished.

In all these experiments nothing but water was produced. The carbonic acid gas was not obtained, unless it previously existed in the calces.

It is not however denied, that fixed air may be generated by heating a pure metallic calx, in a particular kind of inflammable air. Thus it may be made by reviving red precipitate in hydrogenous gas, obtained from exposing the flowers of zinc and coal to a red heat, or from passing alcohol over red hot iron, but none will be procured from that made by the diluted sulphuric acid and malleable iron, or from that obtained by passing the steam of water over malleable iron.

Upon reviving three drachms of red precipitate, in thirty-six ounce measures of hydrogenous gas, from the flowers of zinc and coal, and which had been well washed in lime water, there was an absorption of only two ounce measures.

After the operation, there was a great production of carbonic acid gas. Water was not formed in this process, for the coal held in solution in the hydrogenous gas, had a stronger attraction to the pure, than to the inflammable air, and consequently fixed air was generated.

Had the same quantity of precipitate been revived in inflammable air, from malleable iron, upwards of thirty ounce measures of the air would have vanished.

Dr. Priestley, supposing that the inflammable air, or the phlogiston it contains, enters into the composition of the metals, has made a calculation of the quantity of this air absorbed by an ounce of several of them. He calculates from the metal actually revived. According to him, one ounce of mercury absorbs three hundred and sixty-two ounce measures of hydrogenous gas. The quantity mentioned here, is far too great. One drachm of red precipitate, which contains

tains more than fifty grains of mercury, makes twelve ounce measures of inflammable air disappear.

It is a difficult matter to be exact in this experiment, for some of the precipitate always disperses in reviving the mercury, and a part of the metal sublimes and adheres to the sides of the vessel which is used.

As I believe, that when a metallic calx is heated in hydrogenous gas, the oxygen of the calx, unites to the hydrogen and forms water, I always calculate from the quantity of hydrogenous gas that disappears, from heating a given quantity of a calx in this air.

According to my experiments, one ounce of red precipitate, mercurius precipitatus per se, and the calx obtained by boiling a solution of caustic pot-ash on turbith mineral, makes 112 ounce measures of inflammable air disappear, when heated in it by the burning lens.

Red Lead	88
Mafficot	32
Litharge	32
Manganese	192
Copper	144
Iron	288

Upon dissolving half a drachm of the precipitate of iron, which had made sixteen ounce measures of hydrogenous gas disappear, in diluted sulphuric acid, as much inflammable air was obtained, as two grains of the filings of malleable iron would have produced. According to this experiment, were I to calculate in the same manner as Dr. Priestley, I would say, that one ounce of bar iron absorbs 3840 ounce measures of inflammable air, but this quantity of the metal by solution in the sulphuric acid and water will yield no more than 365 ounce measures of hydrogenous gas.

If an ounce of mercury absorbs 362 ounce measures of inflammable air, it ought to give out this air when dissolved

ed in an acid, or some substance into which it enters as a constituent part. But mercury revived from red precipitate by inflammable air, boiled in sulphuric acid gives sulphureous gas, and when added to nitric acid, nitrous air, neither of which contain inflammable air.

It should also exhibit some properties, when subjected to the action of chemical agents, different from that which is revived from a mercurial calx merely by an increase of its temperature, which is not the case; and if mercury absorbs inflammable air, that which is revived without addition, when heated in inflammable air, should absorb some of it which it will not do.

It certainly is not probable, that an ounce of mercury containing more than twelve quarts of hydrogenous gas, should have the same external appearance, and exhibit the same chemical properties, as that which does not contain one particle of this air.

Dr. Priestley not only believes, that when red precipitate is heated in hydrogenous gas, the inflammable air enters into the metal, but that, the pure air of the metallic calx is diffused through the hydrogenous gas which remains behind.

As a proof of this he mentions an explosion, which happened from reviving red precipitate, in inflammable air. I have performed this experiment, with different proportions of red precipitate, twenty times, and never met with any accident.

The inflammable air that Dr. Priestley used, must have been mixed with atmospheric air, or an explosion would not have happened. That the pure air of the metallic calx is not diffused through the inflammable air which remains behind, appears evident from the following circumstance.

If one drachm of red precipitate, is revived in sixteen ounce measures of hydrogenous gas, twelve ounce measures

sures of the inflammable air will disappear, and the remaining four ounce measures, will not be diminished by the test of nitrous air.

When the proportion of precipitate is large, and the inflammable air small, after the inflammable air disappears, the precipitate will give out its oxygen, and the air which remains, will be diminished by the test of nitrous air.

This circumstance has happened in some of the experiments of Dr. Priestley.

Another objection brought forward by Dr. Priestley is, that if hydrogen be nothing more than a component part of water, it never would be produced, but in circumstances in which either water itself, or something into which water is known to enter is present. He tells us, that upon heating finery cinder together with charcoal, inflammable air is produced, though according to the new theory no water is concerned.

The antiphlogistic chemists never said, that hydrogenous gas could not be produced without water; for it is a constituent part of other bodies, as alcohol and ammoniac.

To ascertain the quantity of hydrogenous gas, afforded by charcoal and finery cinder exposed to a high degree of heat, an ounce of the scales of iron and the same quantity of charcoal, both reduced to a very fine powder, were separately exposed in covered crucibles, in an air furnace, well supplied with fuel, for five hours. They were then taken out of the fire, and mixed while *red hot* in a *red hot* iron mortar, were triturated with a *red hot* pestle, formed of an iron ramrod, were poured upon a *red hot* piece of sheet iron, and instantly put into a *red hot* gun barrel which was fixed in one of Lewis's black lead furnaces, and which communicated with the worm of a refrigeratory, a part of a hydropneumatic apparatus. Immediately after luting one end of the gun barrel to the worm, one hundred and forty-

two ounce measures, of inflammable air came over in torrents, mixed with one-tenth part of carbonic acid gas.

This experiment has puzzled all the advocates of the antiphlogistic system, to whom it has been mentioned. Many consider it as a powerful blow at the new doctrine, and every person explains it in a different manner.

Dr. Priestley's theory of it is very unsatisfactory, for he says the water from the finery cinder, uniting with the charcoal makes the inflammable air, at the same time that part of the phlogiston from the charcoal, contributes to revive the iron.

This explanation will not do, for the iron is not revived, and it will not account for the production of the carbonic acid.

By considering the scales of iron, as a combination of iron, oxygen and water, there will be no difficulty in the business. The finery cinder supplies the coal with water, which is decomposed; its oxygen unites with the coal and forms carbonic acid, while its hydrogen escapes, dissolves part of the coal, and forms the carbonated hydrogen gas.

The celebrated Mrs. Fulhame, a lady whom I am proud to quote on this occasion, is the only person I know, who seems properly impressed with the idea of the agency of water, in many chemical operations. This distinguished lady, who is equally an example to her sex, and an ornament to science, has properly considered a metallic oxyd as a combination of a metal, oxygen and water.

There are other substances besides finery cinder, which mixed with coal and exposed to a red heat, yield hydrogenous gas and carbonic acid, in large quantities. These airs may be obtained from the common rust of iron, or from any precipitate of iron, and coal which has ceased to yield air. They may also be procured, from the flowers of zinc and red hot coal.

One drachm of the flowers of zinc and twelve grains of red hot coal, which had ceased to yield air, being exposed to a red heat gave fifty-eight ounce measures of hydrogenous gas, every portion of which was mixed with some carbonic acid.

One drachm of the precipitate of zinc, from a solution of white vitriol by ammoniac, exposed to a red heat half an hour, when mixed while red hot, with red hot coal, which had ceased to yield air, gave fourteen ounce measures of inflammable air, mixed with carbonic acid.

The flowers and precipitate of zinc in these cases, supplied the coal with water which was decomposed. The metal was not revived.

### SECTION III.

#### *Of Finery Cinder or the Scales of Iron.*

The antiphlogistic chemists consider the scales, which the blacksmiths strike off from red hot iron, to be iron partially oxygenated.

On the contrary Dr. Priestley supposes, that when iron is heated in oxygenous gas, it parts with its phlogiston, and is converted into a substance resembling finery cinder, but he will not allow that the air which disappears in this process, is imbibed by the iron, but only the water which was its base, while the oxygenous gas, he says, always goes to form the fixed air which is found in the experiment.

He declares that the quantity of carbonic acid, is quite sufficient to take all the oxygenous gas that disappears in the process.

That the Doctor's ideas are not just on this subject, we have the most conclusive evidence.

If

If half a drachm of the filings of bar iron, are melted in twenty ounce measures of pure air, thirteen ounce measures of the air will be absorbed by the iron, which will be converted into finery cinder. Half an ounce measure of carbonic acid gas will be produced.

Lavoisier tells us, if the iron is pure, no fixed air will be obtained; and certainly Dr. Priestley will not say, that thirteen ounce measures of oxygenous gas enter into the composition of half an ounce measure of fixed air, which must be the case if his theory is true.

Here then are twelve and a half ounce measures of pure air, which cannot be accounted for according to the system of Dr. Priestley, and when we see a substance produced, by melting iron in oxygenous gas, resembling the scales of iron, in every property, and cannot account for the air which disappears but by supposing it is imbibed by the iron, can we hesitate to pronounce, that the scales of iron contain oxygen.

The Doctor likewise supposes, that if oxygen was lodged in a calx of iron, it would dephlogisticate the muriatic acid which minium instantly does, and which we grant does not contain a third as much pure air as a calx of iron.

To determine if finery cinder would dephlogisticate the muriatic acid, four ounces of the acid, were distilled upon three ounces of the powdered scales of iron, without success.

An attempt was also made to dephlogisticate the acid, by distilling two ounces of the sulphuric acid, upon three ounces of common salt, and as much of the scales of iron, without effect. The quantity of oxygen contained in these scales, must have been several hundred ounce measures.

These trials however do not invalidate any thing, which has been advanced by the antiphlogistic chemists, for the oxygenation of the muriatic acid, does not depend so much upon the quantity of pure air contained in a calx, as upon its readiness to give out this air to the acid; when the

attraction between the oxygen and metal is greater than between the oxygen and the acid, the acid will not be oxygenated. This is the case with iron.

A proof that the oxygenation of the muriatic acid, does not depend merely upon the quantity of oxygen contained in a calx is, that a diachm of manganese, which has been exposed several hours to a red heat, and parted with the greatest part of its pure air, will oxygenate the muriatic acid to a greater degree, than one ounce of mercurius cinereus, or the calx obtained by boiling caustic alkali upon turbith mineral, which contain thirty times as much pure air.

The Doctor likewise observes, if finery cinder was iron partially oxygenated, it would go on to attract more oxygen from the atmosphere, and in time be converted into a rust of iron.

In order to determine if finery cinder would attract oxygen, the focus of the lens was thrown upon a quantity of it, confined in pure air, which was not absorbed.

The steam of water was also passed over it for several hours, when red hot in an iron tube, but it suffered no alteration.

One ounce of it reduced to a fine powder, was exposed to the action of atmospheric air upwards of twelve months, and sprinkled with water several hundred times, and at the end of this time, was as free from rust, as when first exposed, while an ounce of iron filings moistened with water, were covered with rust in three days.

I acknowledge that finery cinder cannot be converted into rust, but cannot see in what manner this makes against the antiphlogistic system. When bar iron is converted into finery cinder, it parts with the small quantity of coal it contained, and absorbs oxygen and water.

The rust of iron differs from it materially, for it contains a portion of carbonic acid, and although the French chemists

chemists consider this preparation as a carbonate of iron, I do not think it is entitled to this appellation, for one ounce of it yields but four ounce measures of fixed air, whereas the same quantity of the precipitate from green vitriol by the common pot-ash of the shops, yields thirty-two ounce measures, and deserves this character with more propriety.

A strong proof that finely cinder contains oxygen is, that when it is heated in hydrogenous gas, it makes a large quantity of it disappear, and I have shewn, that when metallic calces are heated in this air, that the disappearance of the inflammable air, is always in strict proportion to the pure air which they contain.

#### SECTION IV.

##### *Of Carbonic Acid or Fixed Air.*

According to the advocates of the antiphlogistic system, the carbonic acid or fixed air, is a combination of charcoal and oxygen. They are of this opinion for two reasons.

First. If charcoal be plunged in a vessel of oxygen gas, the whole of it will be consumed, and carbonic acid gas will be produced.

Secondly. It is well known, that all the calces of mercury may be reduced without any addition, and will afford oxygenous gas, but if charcoal be mixed with them, the carbonic acid gas will be formed, and the charcoal will be consumed.

Dr. Priestley in opposition to this opinion declares, that large quantities of fixed air have been procured in his experiments, where neither charcoal nor any thing containing it was concerned.

He says, when the purest malleable iron is heated in dephlogisticated air, a considerable quantity of fixed air is formed. He tells us, in the first edition of his works, that there is but a small portion of fixed air, formed in this process.

Four experiments were made to determine this question.

Melting by the burning lens, half a drachm of the filings of bar iron, filed for the purpose, in twenty-four ounce measures of oxygenous gas, which had been well washed in lime water, eleven ounce measures of the air were imbibed by the metal, and half an ounce measure of carbonic acid gas was produced.

One drachm of the same kind of filings, melted in thirty-six ounce measures of oxygenous gas, gave one ounce measure; one drachm and a half, an ounce and the eighth of an ounce measure; and two drachms, one ounce and the sixth part of an ounce measure of carbonic acid gas.

One ounce of this iron in small pieces, dissolved in the sulphuric acid and water, left a residuum of one half grain of charcoal.

There was evidently then not a sufficient quantity of coal, contained in this iron, to account for the carbonic acid produced, by melting the iron in oxygenous gas, according to this analysis, which is certainly, very imperfect.

The inflammable air, produced by dissolving bar iron, in diluted sulphuric acid, holds a portion of charcoal in solution, which is not easily detected, owing to the very small quantity of coal, being equally diffused through a large quantity of hydrogenous gas, for the portion of coal cannot be more than three grains, in three hundred and fifty-five ounce measures of inflammable air.

That the carbonic acid produced in this process, does actually proceed from the charcoal contained in the metal, we have the most conclusive proofs, for the quantity of it obtained

obtained, is always in proportion to the coal contained in iron.

Bar iron contains a small quantity of coal, compared to cast iron, and by heating cast iron in oxygenous gas, much more carbonic acid may be produced, than from bar iron.

Dr. Priestley says, that the plumbago contained in iron, could not be disengaged from it in this process, and if it could, it would not yield the hundredth part of the fixed air that is produced.

The charcoal contained in plumbago, can certainly be disengaged from it with the greatest ease, for every particle of it, is exposed to a high degree of heat in oxygenous gas.

Two other arguments used by the Doctor, to prove that fixed air may be procured without charcoal, are :

That a great quantity of this kind of air, may be produced from heating a mixture of iron filings and red precipitate, or iron filings and turbith mineral.

Five attempts were made to obtain carbonic acid gas, by exposing from half an ounce to an ounce of red precipitate, mixed with an ounce and two ounces, of the filings of bar iron, filed for the purpose, to a red heat, in a clean iron tube, without success. The mercury of the precipitate was revived, no air was obtained, and the iron was reduced to a calx.

Mixing five drachms of the same kind of filings, and as much turbith mineral, and exposing the whole to a red heat, the same result happened.

Having then recourse to cast iron, half an ounce of red precipitate was mixed with an ounce of the borings of cannon, and thirty-two ounce measures of air were obtained, eleven of which were fixed, and twenty-one inflammable.

One ounce of this iron, without any red precipitate, exposed to a red heat, gave forty ounce measures of air, eight of which were fixed and thirty-two inflammable.

One

One ounce of these borings, dissolved in sulphuric acid and water, left a residuum of thirty-four grains, eighteen of which were coal and sixteen silicious earth.

The carbonic acid gas obtained in these experiments, evidently proceeded from the coal, contained in the cast iron.

The Doctor also obtained carbonic acid, by heating the charcoal of copper in dephlogisticated air. This charcoal of copper is made by passing the steam of alkohol over red hot copper, and as it consists principally of carbon, which is one of the component parts of alkohol, no argument can be adduced from it, in support of his hypothesis.

He also supposes that the fixed air, procured in animal respiration, is formed without charcoal, but as we feed upon vegetable substances, which contain coal, the carbonic acid, thrown out from the lungs, must be formed of this coal, uniting to the pure air taken into this viscus in inspiration.

## SECTION V.

### *Of the Nitric Acid.*

It is unnecessary to refer Dr. Priestley, to the experiments of various chemists, to prove that the nitric acid is composed of oxygen and azote, as he must be well acquainted with every thing that has been done upon this subject.

As the Doctor obtains this acid at pleasure, by decomposing by the electric spark, a mixture of oxygenous and hydrogenous gases, in the proportion of a little more than one measure of the former to two of the latter, he supposes the acid is formed of these airs. But let us attend strictly, to what takes place in experiments of this kind.

Thirty-

Thirty-two ounce measures of oxygenous gas, obtained from red lead and the sulphuric acid, and sixty-four ounce measures of hydrogenous gas, procured from the borings of cannon and diluted sulphuric acid, both of which had been well washed in lime water, were introduced into a copper tube, and decomposed by the electric spark. About one ounce of water, remained in the tube, which after the explosion, was filled with an immense number of fine particles of matter, and which being collected upon a filter and analysed, turned out to be copper.

The water was of a pale blue colour, and did not turn litmus paper red. Evaporated to dryness, it yielded one grain and a half of the nitrate of copper.

This experiment was repeated with the same kind of airs, and gave the same result.

Trying the hydrogenous gas from muriatic acid and zinc, and oxygenous gas, from red lead and sulphuric acid in the same proportions, no difference took place.

Increasing the quantity of oxygenous gas to forty ounce measures, and reducing the hydrogenous gas to fifty-six ounce measures, and excluding the water, nitrous acid was produced.

Repeating this experiment over distilled water, with the same quantity of oxygenous gas, obtained from red precipitate, and hydrogenous gas from malleable iron and diluted sulphuric acid, the same quantity of nitrous acid was produced, and no muriatic acid was formed, as appeared by the acid not precipitating a solution of silver in nitric acid.

Introducing into the tube, thirty-two ounce measures of azotic gas, forty of oxygenous gas, obtained from the sulphuric acid and manganese, and twenty-four of hydrogenous gas, from malleable iron by the diluted sulphuric acid, the quantity of nitric acid did not appear to be increased.

Repeating the experiment with sixteen ounce measures of azotic gas, fifty-six of oxygenous gas from red precipitate, and twenty-four of hydrogenous gas, from malleable iron and the diluted sulphuric acid, the greatest quantity of nitric acid was produced.

The acid obtained in any of these experiments, was not equal to three grains of concentrated nitric acid, consequently the theory of Dr. Priestley must be wrong, for it is not probable, that fifty-six ounce measures of oxygenous gas, enter into the composition of three grains of nitric acid.

The Doctor is certainly right when he says, if phlogisticated air be purposely introduced into the mixture of dephlogisticated and inflammable air, it will not be affected by the process. It is necessary however, to have regard to the quality and proportion of the oxygenous and hydrogenous gases; when these airs are pure, and contain no azotic gas, which is scarcely ever the case, water only will be formed. When azotic air is mixed with them, which it almost always is, that part of the oxygen, which does not unite to the hydrogen gas and form water, joins with the azotic gas and forms the nitric acid.

When carbonated hydrogen gas is used, carbonic acid, water and nitric acid will be generated.

That inflammable air does not enter into the composition of nitric acid is evident, for none of it, nor any thing into which it enters, as a constituent part, can be procured from the nitric acid, nor any combination of this acid with alkalies, earths or metals.

On the other hand, nitric acid may be separated into its elementary parts, oxygenous and azotic gas; and if the acid was composed of pure and inflammable air, it could be made by heating red precipitate in inflammable air.

Mr. Keir who analysed the liquor obtained by Dr. Priestley, from the explosion of pure and inflammable air, supposed

supposed that the muriatic acid was always generated along with the nitrous.

As no muriatic acid was obtained in my experiment, when made over distilled water, it is probable that Dr. Priestley filled his tube with pump water, containing sea salt, or that the water of his hydropneumatic tub contained some marine acid.

I cannot conclude this dissertation, without acknowledging my obligations to Dr. Priestley, for his polite attention in shewing me a variety of experiments, when at his house in Northumberland, and for the instruction derived from reading his very valuable dissertations, on different kinds of air.

Although I do not agree with the Doctor, in the theory which he has adopted, yet I conceive his entrance, on that branch of philosophy, denominated pneumatic chemistry, will ever be considered, as marking an æra in the science.